
REFERENCES

- Abel, R. (2005). *Achieving success in internet-supported learning in higher education: Case studies illuminate success factors, challenges, and future directions*. Lake Mary, FL: The Alliance for Higher Education Competitiveness, Inc. Retrieved from <http://www.a-hec.org/research/study%5Freports/IsL0205/TOC.html>
- Abeysekera, L., & Dawson, P. (2015). Motivation and cognitive load in the flipped classroom: Definition, rationale and a call for research. *Higher Education Research & Development* 34(1), 1014.
- Al-Bahrani, A., & Patel, D. (2015). Incorporating Twitter, Instagram, and Facebook in economics classrooms. *The Journal of Economic Education*, 46(1), 56–67.
- Albers, C. (2003). Using the syllabus to document the scholarship of teaching. *Teaching Sociology*, 31(1), 60–72.
- Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2007). *Online nation: Five years of growth in online learning*. Needham, MA: Sloan Consortium. Retrieved from <http://www.babson.edu/Academics/Documents/babson-survey-research-group/online-nation.pdf>.
- Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2014). *Grade change: Tracking online education in the United States*. Needham, MA: Babson Survey Research Group and Quahog Research Group.
- Alrasheedi, M., Capretz, L. F., & Raza, A. (2015). A systematic review of the critical factors for success of mobile learning in higher education (University Students' Perspective). *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 52(2), 257–276.
- Altman, H. B., & Cashin, W. E. (1992). *Writing a syllabus*. IDEA Paper No. 27. Manhattan, KS: The IDEA Center.
- Ambrose, S. A., Bridges, M. W., DiPietro, M., Lovett, M. C., Norman, M. K., & Mayer, R. E. (2010). *How learning works: Seven research-based principles for smart teaching*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of educational objectives*. New York: Longman.
- Angelo, T. A., & Cross, K. P. (1993). *Classroom assessment techniques*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Appana, S. (2008). A review of benefits and limitations of online learning in the context of the student, the instructor, and the tenured faculty. *International Journal on E-Learning*, 7(1), 5–22.
- Appleby, D. C. (1994). How to improve your teaching with the course syllabus. *APS Observer*, 7(3), 18–19, 26.
- Armstrong, A. M. (2011). Integrating learning and collaboration using an interactive online course syllabus. In *Proceedings of TCC Worldwide Online Conference 2011* (pp. 10-19). TCC Hawaii. Retrieved from <https://www.learntechlib.org/j/TCC/v/2011/n/1>
- Atkins, D., Brown, J. S., & Hammond, A. (2007). A review of the open educational resources (OER) movement: Achievements, challenges, and new opportunities. *Report to The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation*. <http://www.hewlett.org/uploads/files/ReviewoftheOERMovement.pdf>

- Baecker, D. L. (1998). Uncovering the rhetoric of the syllabus: The case of the missing I. *College Teaching*, 46(2), 58–61.
- Barczyk, C. C., & Duncan, D. G. (2013). Facebook in higher education courses: An analysis of students' attitudes, community of practice, and classroom community. *International Business and Management*, 6(1), 1–11.
- Barkley, E. F., Major, C. H., & Cross, K. P. (2014). *Collaborative learning techniques: A handbook for college faculty*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Beck, V. (2014). Testing a model to predict online cheating—Much ado about nothing. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 15(1), 65–75.
- Becker, A. H., & S. K. Calhoun. (1999). What introductory psychology students attend to on a course syllabus. *Teaching of Psychology*, 26, 6–11.
- Beckett, G. H., Amaro-Jimenez, C., & Beckett, K. S. (2010). Students' use of asynchronous discussions for academic discourse socialization. *Distance Education*, 31(3), 315–335.
- Bender, T. (2012). *Discussion-based online teaching to enhance student learning: Theory, practice, and assessment*. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Berk, R. A. (2009). Multimedia teaching with video clips: TV, movies, YouTube, and mtvU in the college classroom. *International Journal of Technology in Teaching and Learning*, 5(1), 1–21.
- Bers, T., Davis, B., & Taylor, B. (2000). The use of syllabi in assessments: Unobtrusive indicators and tools for faculty development. *Assessment Update*, 12(3), 4–7.
- Bers, T., Davis, B. D., & Taylor, W. (1996). Syllabus analysis: Are we teaching or telling our students? *Assessment Update*, 8(6), 14–15.
- Biggs, J. (1996). Enhancing teaching through constructive alignment. *Higher Education*, 32, 347–364.
- Black, E. W., Greaser, J., & Dawson, K. (2008). Academic dishonesty in traditional and online classrooms: Does the “media equation” hold true? *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 12(3–4), 23–30.
- Blankenship, M. (2011). How social media can and should impact higher education. *Education Digest*, 76(7), 39–42.
- Bloom, B. S., & Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals, by a committee of college and university examiners. Handbook I: Cognitive domain*. New York: Longmans.
- Blumberg, P. (2009). Maximizing learning through course alignment and experience with different types of knowledge. *Innovative Higher Education*, 34, 93–103.
- Bonwell, C. C., & Eison, J. A. (1991). *Active learning: Creating excitement in the classroom* (ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report No. 1). Washington, DC: George Washington University.
- Borup, J., West, R. E., & Graham, C. R. (2013). The influence of asynchronous video communication on learner social presence: A narrative analysis of four cases. *Distance Education*, 34(1), 48–63.
- Bowen, J. A. (2012). *Teaching naked: How moving technology out of your college classroom will improve student learning*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Brookfield, S. D. (2012). *Teaching for critical thinking: Tools and techniques to help students question their assumptions*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Brothen, T., & Peterson, G. (2012). Online exam cheating: A natural experiment. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 9(2), 15–20.

- Burgstahler, S. E. (2008). Universal design of instruction: From principles to practice. In S. E. Burgstahler & R. C. Cory (Eds.), *Universal design in higher education: From principles to practice* (pp. 23–43). Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.
- Calhoun, S., & Becker, A. (2008). How students use the course syllabus. *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 2(1), 1–12.
- Carmean, C., & Brown, G. (2005). Measure for measure: Assessing course management systems. In P. McGee, C. Carmean, & A. Jafari (Eds.), *Course management systems for learning* (pp. 1–13). Hershey, PA: Information Science Publishing.
- Carroll-Barefield, A., Smith, S. P., Prince, L. H., & Campbell, C. A. (2005). Transitioning from brick and mortar to online: A faculty perspective. *Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, 8(1). Retrieved from <http://www.westga.edu/~distance/ojdla/spring81/carroll81.htm>
- Caulfield, J. (2011). *How to design and teach a hybrid course*. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Chen, C. C., & Jones, K. T. (2007). Blended learning vs. traditional classroom settings: Assessing effectiveness and student perceptions in an MBA accounting course. *The Journal of Educators Online*, 4(1), 1–15.
- Cheng, A., Jordan, M. E., Schallert, D. L., & The D-Team. (2013). Reconsidering assessment in online/hybrid courses: Knowing versus learning. *Computers & Education*, 68, 51–59.
- Christensen, C. M. (2011). *The innovative university: Changing the DNA of higher education from the inside out*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Christensen, T. K. (2003). Finding the balance: Constructivist pedagogy in a blended course. *The Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 4(2), 235–243.
- Christou, N., Dinov, I. D., & Sanchez, J. (2007, August). Design and evaluation of SOCR tools for simulation in undergraduate probability and statistics courses. *Proceedings of the 56th session of the International Statistical Institute meeting, Lisbon, Portugal*. Retrieved from http://www.stat.auckland.ac.nz/~iase/publications/isi56/IPM40_Christou.pdf
- Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2008). *E-learning and the science of instruction: Proven guidelines for consumers and designers of multimedia learning*. San Francisco, CA: Pfeiffer.
- Clark, W., Logan, K., Luckin, R., Mee, A., & Oliver, M. (2009). Beyond web 2.0: Mapping the technology landscapes of young learners. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 25(1), 56–69.
- Collins, A., & Halverson, R. (2009). *Rethinking education in the age of technology: The digital revolution and schooling in America*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Collins, T. (1997). For openers . . . An inclusive course syllabus. In W. Campbell & K. Smith (Eds.), *New Paradigms for College Teaching* (pp. 79–102). Edina, MN: Interactive Books.
- Collison, G., Elbaum, B., Haavind, S., & Tinker, R. (2000). *Facilitating online learning: Effective strategies for moderators*. Madison, WI: Atwood Publishing.
- Coombs, N. (2010). *Making online teaching accessible: Inclusive course design for students with disabilities*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Cullen, R., & Harris, M. (2009). Assessing learner-centeredness through course syllabi. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 34, 115–125.
- Cummings, J. A., Bonk, C. J., & Jacobs, F. (2002). Twenty-first century college syllabi: Options for online communication and interactivity. *Internet and Higher Education*, 5(1), 1–19.
- Davidson, N., & Major, C. H. (2014). Boundary crossings: Cooperative learning, collaborative learning, and problem-based learning. *Journal on Excellence in College Teaching*, 25(3–4), 7–55.

- Davidson, N., Major, C. H., & Michaelsen, L. K. (Eds.). (2014). Small group learning in higher education—cooperative, collaborative, problem-based, and team-based learning [Special issue]. *Journal on Excellence in College Teaching*, 25(3–4).
- De George-Walker, L., & Keefe, M. (2010). Self-determined blended learning: A case study of blended design. *Higher Education Research*, 29(1), 1–13.
- DeLozier, S. J., & Rhodes, M. G. (2016). Flipped classrooms: A review of key ideas and recommendations for practice. *Educational Psychology Review*, 1–11. doi 10.1007/s10648-015-9356-9
- DiClementi, J. D., & Handelsman, M. M. (2005). Empower students: Class-generated course rules. *Teaching of Psychology*, 32, 18–21.
- Diemer, T. T., Fernandez, E., & Streepey, J. W. (2012). Student perceptions of classroom engagement and learning using iPads. *Journal of Teaching and Learning with Technology*, 1(2), 13–25.
- Doolittle, P. E., & Lusk, D. L. (2007). The effects of institutional classification and gender on faculty inclusion of syllabus components. *Journal of Scholarship and Teaching and Learning*, 7(2), 62–78.
- Doolittle, P. E., & Siudzinski, R. A. (2010). Recommended syllabus components: What do higher education faculty include in their syllabi? *Journal of Excellence in College Teaching*, 20(3), 29–61.
- Doyle, T. (2011). *Learner-centered teaching: Putting the research on learning into practice*. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Dray, B. J., Lowenthal, P. R., Miskiewicz, M. J., Ruiz-Primo, M. A., & Marczyński, K. (2011). Developing an instrument to assess student readiness for online learning: A validation study. *Distance Education*, 32(1), 29–47.
- Du, C. (2011). A comparison of traditional and blended learning in introductory principles of accounting course. *American Journal of Business Education*, 4(9), 1–10.
- Duch, B. J., Groh, S. E., & Allen, D. E. (Eds.). (2001). *The power of problem-based learning: A practical “how to” for teaching undergraduate courses in any discipline*. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Eberly, M. B., Newton, S. E., & Wiggins, R. A. (2001). The syllabus as a tool for student-centered learning. *The Journal of General Education*, 50(1), 56–74.
- Estes, T. (2007). Constructing the syllabus: Devising a framework for helping students learn to think like historians. *History Teacher*, 40(2), 183–201.
- Everson, M., Gundlach, E., & Miller, J. (2013). Social media and the introductory statistics course. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(5), A69–A81.
- Field, S., Sarver, M. D., & Shaw, S. F. (2003). Self-determination: A key to success in postsecondary education for students with learning disabilities. *Remedial and Special Education*, 24(6), 339–349.
- Fink, L. D. (2003). *Creating significant learning experiences: An integrated approach to designing college courses*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Fink, S. B. (2012). The many purposes of course syllabi: Which are essential and useful? *Syllabus*, 1(1), 1–12.
- Forehand, M. (2005). Bloom’s taxonomy: Original and revised. In M. Orey (Ed.), *Emerging perspectives on learning, teaching, and technology*. Retrieved from <http://projects.coe.uga.edu/epltt/>
- Garrison, D. R. (2011). *E-learning in the 21st century: A framework for research and practice* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.

- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2000). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 2(2), 87–105.
- Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering the transformative potential in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 7(2), 95–105.
- Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2008). *Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles, and guidelines*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Getzel, E. E. (2008). Addressing the persistence and retention of students with disabilities in higher education: Incorporating key strategies and supports on campus. *Exceptionality: A Special Education Journal*, 16(4), 207–219.
- Gikas, J., & Grant, M. M. (2013). Mobile computing devices in higher education: Student perspectives on learning with cellphones, smartphones & social media. *Internet and Higher Education*, 19, 18–26.
- Glazer, F. S. (Ed.). (2012). *Blended learning: Across the disciplines, across the academy*. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Graham, C. R., Woodfield, W., & Harrison, J. B. (2013). A framework for institutional adoption and implementation of blended learning in higher education. *Internet and Higher Education*, 18, 4–14.
- Graham, M. (2014). Social media as a tool for increased student participation and engagement outside the classroom in higher education. *Journal of Perspectives in Applied Academic Practice*, 2(3), 16–24.
- Graham-Matheson, L., & Starr, S. (2013). Is it cheating or learning the craft of writing? Using Turnitin to help students avoid plagiarism. *Research in Learning Technology*, 21, 1–13.
- Grunert, J. (1997). *The course syllabus: A learning centered approach*. Bolton, MA: Anker Publishing.
- Gunawardena, C. N. (1995). Social presence theory and implications for interaction and collaborative learning in computer conferences. *International Journal of Educational Telecommunications*, 1(2), 147–166.
- Gunawardena, C. N., & Zittle, F. J. (1997). Social presence as a predictor of satisfaction within a computer-mediated conferencing environment. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 11(3), 8–26.
- Gurell, S. (2008). *Open educational resources handbook*. Center for Open and Sustainable Learning. Retrieved from http://wikieducator.org/OER_Handbook/educator_version_one.
- Guy, R. (2012). The use of social media for academic practice: A review of literature. *Kentucky Journal of Higher Education Policy and Practice*, 1(2), 7.
- Haebanek, D. V. (2005). An examination of the integrity of the syllabus. *College Teaching*, 53(2), 62–64.
- Hall, H., & Davison, B. (2007). Social software as support in hybrid learning environments: The value of the blog as a tool for reflective learning and peer support. *Library and Information Science Research*, 29, 163–187.
- Hammons, J. O., & Shock, J. R. (1994). The course syllabus reexamined. *Journal of Staff, Programming, & Organizational Development*, 12(1), 5–17.
- Harnish, R. J., & Bridges, R. K. (2011). Effect of syllabus tone: Students' perceptions of instructor and course. *Social Psychological Education*, 14, 319–330.
- Harnish, R. J., O'Brien McElwee, R., Slattery, J. M., Frantz, S., Haney, M. R., Shore, C. M., & Penley, J. (2011). Creating the foundation for a warm classroom climate: Best practices in syllabus tone. *APS Observer*, 24, 23–27.

- Harris, M. M. (1993). Motivating with the course syllabus. *National Teaching and Learning Forum*, 3(1), 1–3.
- Harris Poll. (2014). *Pearson student mobile device survey*. Pearson. Retrieved from <http://www.pearsoned.com/wp-content/uploads/Pearson-HE-Student-Mobile-Device-Survey-PUBLIC-Report-051614.pdf>.
- Heer, R. (2011). *A model of learning objectives. Based on A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. Ames, IA: Center for Excellence in Learning and Teaching, Iowa State University. Retrieved from <http://www.celt.iastate.edu/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/RevisedBloomsHandout-1.pdf>
- Hensley, G. (2005). Creating a hybrid college course: Instructional design notes and recommendations for beginners. *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 1(2), 1–7.
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn? *Educational Psychology Review* 16(3): 235–266.
- Hofstein, J. D., Tucker, L., Swarner, K., Moriarty, D., Tegas, L., DeMarte, N., & Adiletta, N. (2013). Using iPads in the chemistry classroom: Focusing on paperless education and identification and directed use of pedagogically directed applications. *The Chemical Educator*, 18, 248–254.
- Hudd, S. S. (2003). The syllabus under construction: Involving students in the creation of class assignments. *Teaching Sociology*, 31(2), 195–202.
- Hung, M., Chou, C., Chen, C., & Own, Z. (2010). Learner readiness for online learning: Scale development and student perceptions. *Computers & Education*, 55(3), 1080–1090.
- Ishiyama, J. T, & Hartlaub, S. (2002). Does the wording of syllabi affect course assessment in introductory political science classes? *Political Science and Politics*, 35(3), 567–570.
- Johnson, L., Adams Becker, S., Estrada, V., & Freeman, A. (2014). *NMC horizon report: 2014 higher education edition*. Austin, TX: The New Media Consortium.
- Johnson, L., Adams Becker, S., Estrada, V., & Freeman, A. (2015). *NMC horizon report: 2015 higher education edition*. Austin, TX: The New Media Consortium.
- Jones, I. S., Blankenship, D., & Hollier, G. (2013). Am I cheating? An analysis of online students' perceptions of their behaviors and attitudes. *Psychology Research*, 3(5), 261–269.
- Joosten, T. (2012). *Social media for educators: Strategies and best practices*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Jusoff, K., & Khodabandelou, R. (2009). Preliminary study on the role of social presence in blended learning environment in higher education. *International Education Studies*, 2(4), 79.
- Kelley, D. H., & Gorham, J. (1988). Effects of immediacy on recall of information. *Communication Education*, 37(3), 198–207.
- Kember, D., McNaught, C., Chong, F. C. Y., Lam, P., & Cheng, K. F. (2010). Understanding the ways in which design features of educational websites impact upon student learning outcomes in blended learning environments. *Computers & Education*, 55, 1183–1192.
- Kilmon, C., & Fagan, M. H. (2007). Course management software adoption: A diffusion of innovations perspective. *Campus-Wide Information Systems*, 24(2), 134–144.
- Kim, H. K. (2011). Promoting communities of practice among non-native speakers of English in online discussions. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 24(4), 353–370.
- King, C., & So, K. K. F. (2014). Creating a virtual learning community to engage international students. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Education*, 26, 136–146.
- Knowles, M. S. (1980). *The modern practice of adult education: From pedagogy to andragogy* (revised and updated). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Cambridge Adult Education.

- Koszalka, T., & Ganesan, R. (2010). Designing online courses: A taxonomy to guide strategic use of features available in course management systems (CMS) in distance education. *Distance Education, 25*(2), 243–256.
- Lack, K. A. (2013, March 21). *Current state of research on online learning in postsecondary education*. Retrieved from <http://www.sr.ithaka.org/research-publications/current-status-research-online-learning-postsecondary-education>.
- Laru, J., Näykki, P., & Järvelä, S. (2012). Supporting small-group learning using multiple Web 2.0 tools: A case study in the higher education context. *The Internet and Higher Education, 15*(1), 29–38.
- Laster, S., Otte, G., Picciano, A. G., & Sorg, S. (2005, April). *Redefining blended learning*. Presented at the 2005 Sloan-C Workshop on Blended Learning, Chicago, IL.
- Lealock, T. L., & Nesbit, J. C. (2007). A framework for evaluating the quality of multimedia learning resources. *Educational Technology & Society, 10*(2), 44–59.
- Lehman, R. M., & Conceição, S. C. O. (2010). *Creating a sense of presence in online teaching: How to “be there” for distance learners*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Loncar, M., Barrett, N. E., & Liu, G. Z. (2014). Towards the refinement of forum and asynchronous online discussion in educational contexts worldwide: Trends and investigative approaches within a dominant research paradigm. *Computers & Education, 73*, 93–110.
- LoSchivo, F. M., & Shatz, M. A. (2011). The impact of an honor code on cheating in online courses. *MERLOT Journal of Online Learning and Teaching, 7*(2), 179–184.
- Major, C. H. (2015). *Teaching online: A guide to theory, research, and practice*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Maki, P. (2010). *Assessing for learning: Building a sustainable commitment across the institution*. Sterling, VA: Stylus Publishing.
- Malloy, T. E., & Hanley, G. L. (2001). MERLOT: A faculty-focused Web site of educational resources. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers, 33*(2), 247–276.
- Martin, F., & Ertzberger, J. (2013). Here and now mobile learning: An experimental study on the use of mobile technology. *Computers & Education, 68*, 76–85.
- Matejka, K., & Kurke, L. B. (1994). Designing a great syllabus. *College Teaching, 42*, 115–117.
- Mayer, R. E. (2005). Introduction to multimedia learning. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning* (pp. 1–24). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Mayer, R. E. (2008). Applying the science of learning: Evidence-based principles for the design of multimedia instruction. *American Psychologist, 63*(8), 760–769.
- McGee, P., & Reis, A. (2012). Blended course design: A synthesis of best practices. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks, 16*(4), 7–22.
- McNabb, L., & Olmstead, A. (2009). Communities of integrity in online courses: Faculty member beliefs and strategies. *MERLOT Journal of Online Learning and Teaching, 5*(2), 208–221.
- McNamara, J. M., Swalm, R. L., Stearne, D. J., & Covassin, T. M. (2008). Online weight training. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 22*(4), 1164–1168.
- McTighe, J., & Wiggins, G. (2004). *Understanding by design: Professional development workbook*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Meyer, K. A. (2003). Face-to-face versus threaded discussions: The role of time and higher-order thinking. *JALN, 7*(3), 55–65.
- Michael, J. (2006). Where's the evidence that active learning works? *Advances in Physiology Education, 30*, 159–167.

- Michaelsen, L. K., Davidson, N., & Major, C. H. (2014). Team-based learning practices and principles in comparison with cooperative learning and problem-based learning. *Journal on Excellence in College Teaching*, 25(3–4), 57–84.
- Millis, B. (1989). Helping to make connections: Emphasizing the role of the syllabus. *To Improve the Academy*, 8, 235–244.
- Millis, B. (2012). *Active learning strategies in face-to-face courses*. IDEA Paper no. 53. Manhattan, KS: The IDEA Center.
- Moore, J. C., & Fetzner, M. J. (2009). The road to retention: A closer look at institutions that achieve high course completion rates. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 3(3), 3–22.
- Moore, N., & Gilmartin, M. (2010). Teaching for better learning: A blended learning pilot project with first-year geography undergraduates. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 34(3), 327–344.
- Moten, J., Fitterer, A., Brazier, E., Leonard, J., & Brown, A. (2013). Examining online college cyber cheating methods and prevention measures. *The Electronic Journal of e-Learning*, 11(2), 139–146.
- Nguyen, L., Barton, S. M., & Nguyen, L. T. (2015). iPads in higher education—hype and hope. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 46(1), 190–203.
- Nilson, L. B. (2010). *Teaching at its best: A research-based resource for college instructors*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- North Virginia Community College Technology Applications Center. (2011, December 14). *Hybrid course review checklist*. Retrieved from https://www.nvcc.edu/hybrid/_docs/hybridchecklist.pdf.
- O'Brien, L., Campbell, A., & Earp, S. (2005). CMS implementation as a catalyst for curricular change. In P. McGee, C. Carmean, & A. Jafari (Eds.), *Course management systems for learning* (pp. 114–130). Hershey, PA: Information Science Publishing.
- Odell, M., Abbitt, J., Amos, D., & Davis, J. (1999). Developing online courses: A comparison of web-based instruction with traditional instruction. In J. Price et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference 1999* (pp. 126–130). Chesapeake, VA: Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE).
- Paas, F., & Sweller, J. (2005). Implications of cognitive load theory for multimedia learning. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning* (pp. 27–42). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Palloff, R. M., & Pratt, K. (2007). *Building online learning communities: Effective strategies for the virtual classroom*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Palloff, R. M., & Pratt, K. (2009). *Assessing the online learner: Resources and strategies for faculty*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Palmer, M. S., Bach, D. J., & Streifer, A. C. (2014). Measuring the promise: A learning-focused syllabus rubric. *To Improve the Academy*, 33(1), 14–36.
- Parkes, J., & Harris, M. B. (2002). The purpose of a syllabus. *College Teaching*, 50(2), 55–61.
- Parry, M. (2011, August 28). Online venture energizes vulnerable college. *Chronicle of Higher Education*. Retrieved from <http://chronicle.com/article/How-Big-Can-E-Learning-Get-At/128809/>.
- Pegrum, M., Bartle, E., & Longnecker, N. (2015). Can creative podcasting promote deep learning? The use of podcasting for learning content in an undergraduate science unit. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 46(1), 142–152.

- Peluso, D. C. C. (2012). The face-paced iPad revolution: Can educators stay up to date and relevant about these ubiquitous devices? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 43(4), 125–127.
- Picciano, A. G. (2007). Introduction. In A. G. Picciano & C. D. Dziuban (Eds.), *Blended learning, research perspectives* (pp. 5–18). Needham, MA: Sloan Consortium.
- Picciano, A. G. (2009). Blending with purpose: The multimodal model. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 13(1), 7–18.
- Picciano, A. G., & Dziuban, C. D. (Eds.). (2007). *Blended learning, research perspectives*. Needham, MA: Sloan Consortium.
- Picciano, A. G., Dziuban, C. D., & Graham, C. R. (Eds.). (2014). *Blended learning, research perspectives* (Vol. 2). New York: Routledge.
- Pillay, H., Irving, K., & Tones, M. (2007). Validation of the diagnostic tool for assessing tertiary students' readiness for online learning. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 26(2), 217–234.
- Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work? A review of the research. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 93(3). Retrieved from http://www4.ncsu.edu/unity/lockers/users/f/felder/public/Papers/Prince_AL.pdf
- Quality Matters. (2015). *Quality Matters rubric standards*. Retrieved from <https://www.qmprogram.org/qmresources/research/>
- Quality Matters. (2016). *Underlying principles of Quality Matters*. Retrieved from <https://www.qualitymatters.org/research-grants/fipse/principles>.
- Reaburn, P., Muldoon, N., & Bookallil, C. (2009). Blended spaces, work based learning, and constructive alignment: Impacts on student engagement. In *Same places, different spaces. Proceedings ASCILITE Auckland 2009*. Retrieved from <http://www.ascilite.org.au/conferences/auckland09/procs/raeburn.pdf>.
- Reasons, S. G., Valadares, K., & Slavkin, M. (2005). Questioning the hybrid model: Student outcomes in different course formats. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 9(1), 83–94.
- Richardson, J. C., & Swan, K. (2003). Examining social presence in online courses in relation to students' perceived learning and satisfaction. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 7(1), 68–88.
- Riffell, S., & Sibley, D. (2005). Using web-based instruction to improve large undergraduate biology courses: An evaluation of a hybrid course format. *Computers & Education*, 44(3), 217–235.
- Rossing, J. P., Miller, W. M., Cecil, A. K., & Stamper, S. E. (2012). iLearning: The future of higher education? Student perceptions on learning with mobile tablets. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 12(2), 1–26.
- Rovai, A. P. (2002). Sense of community, perceived cognitive learning, and persistence in asynchronous learning networks. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 5(4), 319–332.
- Saville, B. K., Zinn, T. E., Brown, A. R., & Marchuk, K. A. (2010). Syllabus detail and students' perceptions of teacher effectiveness. *Teaching of Psychology*, 37, 186–189.
- Scoville, S. A., & Buskirk, T. D. (2007). Traditional and virtual microscopy compared experimentally in a classroom setting. *Clinical Anatomy*, 20(5), 565–570.
- Shea, P. (2006). A study of students' sense of learning community in online environments. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 10(1), 35–44.
- Shea, P. (2007). Towards a conceptual framework for learning in blended environments. In A. G. Picciano & C. D. Dziuban (Eds.), *Blended learning, research perspectives* (pp. 19–35). Needham, MA: Sloan Consortium.

- Shuler, P., Hutchins, G., & LaShell, B. (2010). Student perceptions of tablet computers in a cooperative learning environment. *NACTA Journal*, 54(2), 11–17.
- Slattery, J. M., & Carlson, J. F. (2005). Preparing an effective syllabus: Current best practices. *College Teaching*, 53(4), 159–164.
- Smith, M., & Casserly, C. M. (2006). The promise of open educational resources. *Change*, September/October, 8–17.
- Smith, M. F., & Razzouk, N. Y. (1993). Improving classroom communication: The case of the course syllabus. *Journal of Education for Business*, 68(4), 215–221.
- Snart, J. (2010). *Hybrid learning: The perils and promise of blending online and face-to-face instruction in higher education*. Santa Barbara, CA: Praeger.
- So, H. J., & Brush, T. A. (2008). Student perceptions of collaborative learning, social presence and satisfaction in a blended learning environment: Relationships and critical factors. *Computers & Education*, 51(1), 318–336.
- Starenko, M., Vignare, K., & Humbert, J. (2007). Enhancing student interaction and sustaining faculty instructional innovations through blended learning. In A. G. Picciano & C. D. Dziuban (Eds.), *Blended learning, research perspectives* (pp. 161–176). Needham, MA: Sloan Consortium.
- Stein, J., & Graham, C. R. (2014). *Essentials for blended learning: A standards-based guide*. New York: Routledge.
- Stevens, D. D., & Cooper, J. E. (2009). *Journal keeping: How to use reflective writing for learning, teaching, professional insight and positive change*. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Stevens, D. D., & Levi, A. J. (2005). *Introduction to rubrics: An assessment tool to save grading time, convey effective feedback, and promote student learning*. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Sulik, G., & Keys, J. (2014). “Many students really do not yet know how to behave!”: The syllabus as a tool for socialization. *Teaching Sociology*, 42(2), 151–160.
- Suskie, L. (2009). *Assessing student learning: A common sense guide*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Svinicki, M. D. (2004). *Learning and motivation in the postsecondary classroom*. Bolton, MA: Anker Publishing.
- Tess, P. A. (2013). The role of social media in higher education classes (real and virtual)—a literature review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(5), A60–A68.
- Thompson, B. (2007). The syllabus as a communication document: Constructing and presenting the syllabus. *Communication Education*, 56, 54–71.
- Tu, C. H. (2001). How Chinese perceive social presence: An examination of interaction in online learning environment. *Educational Media International*, 38(1), 45–60.
- Tynan, B., Ryan, Y., & Lamont-Mills, A. (2015). Examining workload models in online and blended teaching. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 46(1), 5–15.
- U.S. Department of Education, Office of Planning, Evaluation, and Policy Development. (2010). *Evaluation of evidence-based practices in online learning: A meta-analysis and review of online learning studies*. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office.
- Varvel, V. E., Jr. (2005). Honesty in education. In *Pointers & clickers, ION's technology tip of the month*. Retrieved from http://www.ion.uillinois.edu/resources/pointers_clickers/2005_01/VarvelCheatPoint2005.pdf.
- Vovides, Y., Sanchez-Alonso, S., Mitropoulou, V., & Nickmans, G. (2007). The use of e-learning course management systems to support learning strategies and to improve self-regulated learning. *Educational Research Review*, 2, 64–74.

- Wakefield, J., & Smith, D. (2012). From Socrates to satellites: iPad learning in an undergraduate course. *Creative Education* 3(5), 643–648.
- Walvoord, B. E., & Anderson, V. J. (2010). *Effective grading: A tool for learning and assessment in college (2nd ed.)*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Wasley, P. (2008). The syllabus becomes a repository of legalese. *Chronicle of Higher Education*. Retrieved from http://crmtview.asc.ohio-state.edu/currofc/docs/committee/406/Syllabus_TheChronicle.pdf.
- Watts, D. (2014). Lessons learned from the Facebook study. *Chronicle of Higher Education*. Retrieved from <http://chronicle.com/blogs/conversation/2014/07/09/lessons-learned-from-the-facebook-study/>.
- Wesp, R., Kash, M., Sandry, J., & Patton, L. (2013). Should syllabi communicate expectations regarding appropriate classroom behaviors? *Syllabus*, 2(2), 1–10.
- Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2005). *Understanding by design*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision & Curriculum Development.
- Wiley, D., Bliss, T. J., & McEwen, M. (2014). Open educational resources: A review of the literature. In J. M. Spector (Ed.), *Handbook of research on educational communication and technology* (pp. 781–789). New York: Springer.
- Wodzicki, K., Schwämmlein, E., & Moskaliuk, J. (2012). “Actually, I wanted to learn”: Study-related knowledge exchange on social networking sites. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 15(1), 9–14.
- Wolfman-Arent, A. (2014). Why this professor is encouraging Facebook use in his classroom. *Chronicle of Higher Education*. Retrieved from <http://chronicle.com/blogs/wiredcampus/why-this-professor-is-encouraging-facebook-use-in-his-classroom/54223>.

